# Model-based analysis of motion and constitution of water/urea droplets in the exhaust gas of SCR-systems

Prof. DDipl.-Ing. Dr.techn Lukas Möltner

Management Center Innsbruck, Maximilianstraße 2, 6020 Innsbruck, AUSTRIA

#### ABSTRACT:

The selective catalytic reduction (SCR) of nitrogenous oxides is the most promising technique to meet prospective emission regulations of cars. Due to its toxic potential the reducing agent ammonia cannot be stored in a car but it can be carried in form of a urea-water-solution (UWS) which is injected into the hot exhaust gas and reacts to ammonia. This formation of ammonia before the catalytic converter is responsible for the efficiency of the complete SCR-process-chain.

This paper deals with the numerical analysis and description of interactions between droplets of the ureawater-solution and the exhaust gas.

For the description of the droplets' trajectories, a numeric model was developed, which considers, in contrast to previous surveys, the loss of the droplets' mass due to evaporation of water and thermal decomposition of urea. The model for the droplets' motion was extended by an evaporation model for binary fluids and on a kinetic approach to describe the thermal decomposition. This model is able to determine the exact position of flying droplets, the droplets' velocity, the composition and its current temperature in a gas flow. These parameters are substantial for further considerations of the interactions between droplets and hot surfaces, e.g. wall wetting.

### **1 INTRODUCTION**

Diesel engines, in combination with modern charging and injection systems - due to their constant high torque over a wide speed range – deliver excellent driving performance. These characteristics increased the market penetration rate of diesel-driven passenger cars in Europe close to 50% during the last two decades [1]. The big challenge of further developments is to achieve future emission targets safely without dramatically increasing costs compared to competing gasoline engines [2]. Especially the compliance of the strictly limited nitrogen oxide emissions show, that diesel engines require much greater efforts than gasoline engines. The emission limits EURO 6 in Europe and TIER 2 in the USA imply equal NO<sub>x</sub>-restrictions for gasoline and diesel engines.

The selective catalytic reduction (SCR) with ammonia is currently, the most effective technology for reducing nitrogen oxides in motor vehicles. The developed and partly established systems differ in the choice of the reducing agent. A major problem for the use in cars is that the entrainment of a separate reducing agent tank is required. Possible leaks in the tank and the piping system can be classified as very harmful due to the toxicity of ammonia. Therefore, only SCR-systems which use NH<sub>3</sub>-releasing substances are in production or development, eg Urea. This substance shows a much smaller toxicological potential and can be carried as an aqueous solution and also be dosed accordingly. Currently, the infrastructural development of a distribution network of urea-water solution (UWS) is taking place. The UWS consists of 32,5 wt.-% urea, standardized to DIN 70700 and is sold under the brand name AdBlue<sup>®</sup>.

The central importance in implementing a SCR-system is the insertion of the UWS before the catalytic converter. Efficiency of SCR-systems, moderate consumption of the reducing agent, space requirements and complexity, depend on the sufficient ammonia generation and homogenization before the catalytic converter.

The formation mechanism of ammonia out of urea is generally described by two single reactions which take place after the evaporation of water out of the droplet and the melting of urea. The first reaction, Eq. (1), represents the thermolytic decomposition of urea to ammonia and isocyanic acid. The following reaction, Eq. (2), shows the hydrolysis of the formed intermediate to another mol of ammonia and  $CO_2$ .

$$(NH_2)_2CO \leftrightarrow NH_3 + HNCO \tag{1}$$

$$HNCO + H_2O \leftrightarrow NH_3 + CO_2 \tag{2}$$

The single steps from the UWS-insertion till the entrance to the catalytic converter are shown in the process chain below, figure 1.



Figure 1. Process chain of a SCR-system

The objective is to describe the various mechanisms of generation and homogenization of ammonia, to provide a basis for the interpretation of mixing or processing routes for the reducing agent. In detail, the injection of the UWS into the exhaust gas, the interaction with the exhaust gas, interactions at hot surfaces in the exhaust system, the separation of the urea-water solution and the chemical sub-steps of the urea decomposition and ammonia generation are of prime importance. The distinction from previous work is the basic consideration for the relevant individual processes that will serve as a basis for further scientific treatises and developments.

# 2 FUNDAMENTALS

The basis of the droplet-motion-model supplies the balance of forces acting on a drop in a gas flow, figure 2. From this balance the equation of motion can be derived. For the multidimensional motion model, the motion equation is solved for each spatial-axis and determines the droplet velocity and the droplet position. The droplet moves with an initial velocity in the direction of injection.



Figure 2. Balance of forces at a moving droplet in a gas flow

The total force acting on the moving drop is made out of the sum of the single gas forces  $F_g$  and the weight  $F_{WT}$  and causes according to Newton's principle of action, a change in velocity  $d\vec{u}_{Tr}/dt$ , ty  $d\vec{u}_{Tr}/dt$ , Eq. (3).

$$m_{dr}\frac{d\vec{u}_{dr}}{dt} = \vec{F}_g + \vec{F}_{wt} \tag{3}$$

The gas force  $F_g$  is the product of the projected area  $A_{pr}$ , the drag coefficient for drops  $c_{dr}$  and the dynamic pressure. The calculation of the drag coefficient requires the calculation of the Reynolds number by means of the droplet diameter  $D_{Tr}$ , the exhaust gas' density  $\rho_g$  and the dynamic viscosity  $u_q$ . For droplets with a Reynolds number  $Re_{dr} < 800$  counts Eq. (4):

$$c_{dr} = \frac{24}{Re_{dr}} (1 + 0.15 * Re_{dr}^{0.687})$$
(4)

And for Reynolds numbers  $Re_{dr}$  > 800 supplies Eq. (5) the drag coefficient.

$$c_{dr} = 0,44 \tag{5}$$

The acting force of gravity and lift force  $F_{wt}$  is determined by the drop volume  $V_{dr}$ , the droplet density  $\rho_{dr}$  and the gas density. Substitutions and transformations conclude in Eq. (6), which describes after integration the drop velocity.

$$\frac{d\vec{u}_{dr}}{dt} = \frac{3}{4} * \frac{\mu_g * c_{dr} * Re_{dr}}{\rho_{dr} * D_{dr}^2} * \left(\vec{u}_g - \vec{u}_{dr}\right) + \left(1 - \frac{\rho_g}{\rho_{dr}}\right) * \vec{g}$$
(6)

The motion vector is the result of integrating again, Eq. (7):

$$\frac{d\vec{x}_{dr}}{dt} = \vec{u}_{dr} \tag{7}$$

This equation is the base for current calculations and represents the state of the art in describing the droplets' motion, eg [4]. This model is severely limited, because it is based on a constant mass of droplets and constant material characteristics and temperature. Mass losses caused by evaporation or chemical reactions are not taken into account. The next chapter deals with the implementation of an evaporation model and a kinetic approach to extend the capabilities of the model.

#### **3 SCIENTIFIC APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY**

#### 3.1 Droplet evaporation

For modeling of sprays the Lagrangian approach was chosen. That means that the calculation of trajectories and the heat and mass transfer happens only for a statistically representative number of drops inside a magnitude and not for the whole droplet collectively.

Nusselt describes, that the evaporation takes place as a diffusion phenomenon in a thin boundary layer between the droplet and the surrounding gas, as long as the relative humidity of the surrounding gas is < 1. If the droplet is circulated continuously by gas with low humidity the evaporation occurs faster than in a statically gaseous atmosphere. From experiments with drops of different liquids and diameter classes Ranz and Marshall [5] won the dimensionless equation Eq. (8) which describes the mass transfer coefficient  $k_m$  by using the Sherwood number *Sh*, diffusion coefficient *D*, the Reynolds number  $Re_{dr}$  and the Schmidt number *Sc*.

$$Sh_{(t)} \equiv \frac{k_M * D_{Tr(t)}}{D} = 2 + 0.6 * Re_{(t)}^{\frac{1}{2}} * Sc^{\frac{1}{3}}$$
(8)

After computing the mass transfer coefficient  $k_M$  the loss rate of the droplets' liquid can be determined by Eq. (9). The diffusion of the vapour phase into the exhaust gas takes place on the

surface of the droplet  $A_{sf}$  and is quantitatively influenced by the mass transfer coefficient  $k_M$  and the expression of the driving power for diffusion phenomena (term in square brackets in Eq. (9)).

$$\frac{dm_{(t)}}{dt} = -\frac{k_M}{R} \left[ \frac{p_{\nu(T_{dr},t)}}{T_{dr(t)}} - \frac{X_g * p_{\nu(T_g)}}{T_g} \right] * A_{sf(t)} * M_{H20}$$
(9)

#### 3.2 Non-isothermal kinetic decomposition of urea

After the injection of the droplets into the exhaust gas stream, the droplets are heated by convective heat transfer from the exhaust, and evaporation starts. In the first stage the water evaporates, urea leaving behind. The direct decomposition out of aqueous urea solution without prior separation into the water and urea takes place only in a very limited extent. It is confirmed that urea is mainly formed in the two reaction steps according to Eq. (1) and (2). For the quantitative description of the thermolytic decomposition according to Eq. (1) a mathematic power law according to Eq. (10) is applied. This power law describes the reaction rate r as a function of the reaction rate constant  $k_{therm (T)}$  and the influence of the reacting agent concentration  $C_{Urea}$ .

$$r_{(k,c_{Urea})} = k_{therm(T)} * c_{Urea}^{n}$$
<sup>(10)</sup>

The representation of the reaction rate constant's temperature dependence for the thermolysis is carried out according to Arrhenius, Eq. (11), and for the hydrolysis according to Eq. (12) [6].

$$k_{therm} = 4.9 * 10^{3} * \exp\left(-\frac{23066}{R*T}\right)$$
(11)  
$$k_{hydr} = 2.5 * 10^{5} * \exp\left(-\frac{62220}{R*T}\right)$$
(12)

The self-adjusting droplet temperature is determined by the balance of heat which is supplied by the exhaust gas and the heat consumption caused by the endothermic reaction, Eq. (13).

$$\frac{dT}{dt} = \frac{k_w * A_{Ob} * (T_g - T) + (m_{Tr \, 0} - m_{Tr \, (t)}) * (-H_{Therm})}{m_{Tr \, (t)} * c_{p \, Tr \, (t)}}$$
(13)

In this way, the expanded model is finally able to compute the loss of mass by evaporation and chemical reaction and realizes a more precise calculation of the droplets motion. The final computation was done by a conventional numerical solver based on Euler.

#### 4 DISCUSSION OF RESULTS

#### 4.1 Evaporation and thermal decomposition of a non-moving droplet

The performed simulation with the generated model shows a sharp temporal delimitation of the sub-steps during ammonia-formation, for the stationary case. Figure 3 shows the calculated profile of the droplets mass for a droplet of water and a UWS-droplet. In addition, the time-dependent mass fraction of pure urea in the droplet and the droplet temperature are shown for the urea-water mixture. The evaporation of water out of a UWS droplet is described by Wozniak in [7] to be isothermal. The total amount of heat transferred from the exhaust is used for evaporation and the temperature in the droplet remains level at a first steady state. After the drying of the droplet, recognizable by the mass fraction of urea (= 1), the droplet's temperature increases. With increasing temperature of the droplet the reaction rate constant of the thermolysis increases too and accelerates the reaction. A second steady state level is reached as soon as a thermal equilibrium in the droplet between heat input and the applied heat of reaction is adjusted. This steady state temperature is very close to the ambient gas temperature. The reason for this is due to the high heat transfer between exhaust gas and droplet and to the moderate required heat for the reaction [8].



**Figure 3.** Graphs of the drop mass of pure water and UWS and the droplet temperature of UWS calculated at  $T_g = 600$  K, initial droplet temperature  $T_{dr} = 303$  K,  $u_{rel} = 0$  m/s,  $D_{dr0} = 70$  µm,  $k_0 = 4.9 \times 10^3$  1/s and  $E_{A Therm} = 23.066$  J/mol



**Figure 4.** Trajectories of different droplets each with an initial diameter  $D_{dr0} = 70 \ \mu m$  at  $T_g = 600 \ K$ , initial droplet temperature  $T_{dr} = 303 \ K$ ,  $u_g = 25 \ m/s$ , injection angle 45°, initial droplet velocity of 25 m/s,  $k_{0Therm} = 4.9 \ * \ 10^3 \ 1/s$  and  $E_{A\ Therm} = 23.066 \ J \ / mol$ 

## 4.2 Model validation and plausibility check

To prove the validity of the described model, an empiric experiment by laser diffraction was conducted. For this purpose the droplet size distribution in the mixing zone was determined 15 cm downstream of the UWS-injection. According to the model-based calculations a small part of the droplet spectrum must follow directly the exhaust gas and can be detected by laser diffraction. The left droplets of larger diameter classes are undetectable, because due to its inertia they interpenetrate the exhaust flow, hit the opposite wall and remain there, figure 5.



Figure 5. Simulated droplet's trajectories till the measuring position of laser diffraction, mass flow of exhaust gas 200 kg/h and exhaust gas temperature 623 K

Figure 6 shows the comparison of the results of the simulation model and the experimental output. The experimental and the simulation results show, that the droplet's size distribution decreases 15 cm downstream the UWS-injection significantly, which is explainable with the wall contact and deposition of bigger size classes of the initial droplet spectrum.



Figure 6. Size distributions of the primary spray compared with the measured and calculated secondary spray after wall contact

The comparison shows generally a good conformity of the diameter classes which are able to follow the exhaust gas flow between the model-based calculations and the experiment. Only the relative frequency around the relative maximum shows inaccuracies. The reason for this might be the method of analysis with discrete diameter classes and on the other hand deviations caused by the resolution of the laser diffraction measurement.

## 5 CONCLUSION AND FORECAST

For a droplet which moves freely in a hot gas stream could be proved, that mass losses due to evaporation or thermal decomposition are responsible for a significant change of the trajectories compared to droplets with constant mass. Numerical models which use the characteristic data of water to approach the behavior of UWS result also in distinct inaccuracies.

The developed and validated model is not only able to describe trajectories, but it also supplies data of the droplet which is relevant for predictions in case of wall-contact. For the moment of the droplet impact the model calculates the current droplet mass, diameter, velocity, temperatur, viscosity,... and allows predictive statements regarding reducing agent / wall interaction.

Another possible application of the model could be the design of pistons and combustion chambers of engines using gasoline direct injection (GDI) to improve either mixture homogenization or stratification.

Droplet evaporation also occurs in scrubbers combined with hot gas flows. The model could provide exact calculations of the mass transfer at the interphase between droplets and gas phase.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] M. Weißbäck, "Erfüllung zukünftiger Emissionsanforderungen für Diesel SUV`s," Forschungsgesellschaft Kraftfahrwesen mbH Aachen, 15. Aachener Kolloquium Fahrzeug- und Motorentechnik, Tagungsband Nr. 1506, 2006.
- [2] G. Merker, Verbrennungsmotoren, Wiesbaden: B. G. Teubner, ISBN-10 3-8351-0080-7, 2006.
- [3] F. Birkhold, "Selektive katalytische Reduktion von Stickoxiden in Kraftfahrzeugen: Untersuchung der Einspritzung von Harnstoff-Wasserlösung," Dissertation an der Universität Stuttgart, 2007.
- [4] S. Fischer, AdBlue-Aufbereitung vor dem SCR-Katalysator, Entwicklung und Validierung eines 3D-Simulationsmodells, Vortrag zum Forschungsprojekt am Institut f
  ür Fahrzeugantriebe und Automobiltechnik der TU Wien, 30.6.2009, 2009.
- [5] W. Ranz und W. Marshall, Evaporation from drops, Chem. Eng. Prog., Vol. 48, 1952.
- [6] S. Yim, Decomposition of Urea into NH<sub>3</sub> for the SCR-Process, Industrial & Engineering Chemistry Research: American Chemical Society, Volume 43, Page 4856-4863, 2004.
- [7] G. Wozniak, Zerstäubungstechnik, ISBN 3-540-41170-4: Springer, 2003.
- [8] L. Möltner, Tropfen/Abgas- und Tropfen/Wandinteraktionen von AdBlue bei der selektiven katalytischen Reduktion von Stickoxiden, Fortschritt-Berichte VDI Reihe 12 Verkehrstechnik/Fahrzeugtechnik Nr. 785, VDI Verlag Düsseldorf, ISBN 978-3-18-378512-4, 2014